

Constant Curvature and Non-Perturbative W_3 Gravity

Noureddine Mohammedi¹

International Centre for Theoretical Physics
34100 Trieste, Italy.

September, 1991

Abstract

We show that the new classical action for two dimensional gravity (the Jackiw-Teitelboim model) possesses a W_3 algebra. We quantise the resulting W_3 gravity in the presence of matter fields with arbitrary central charges and obtain the critical exponents. The auxiliary field of the model, expressing the constancy of the scalar curvature, can be interpreted as one of the physical degrees of freedom of the W_3 gravity. Our expressions are corrections to some previously published results for this model where the W_3 symmetry was not accounted for.

¹nour@itsictp.bitnet

1 Introduction

Remarkable are the efforts put into the understanding of gravity [1-4] and matrix models [5-8], yet minute are the advances made in the construction of realistic strings outside of critical dimensions. Any attempt to couple matter, with central charge c in the domain $1 < c < 25$, to two dimensional gravity is faced with the issue that some critical exponents start acquiring complex values. It is highly probable that this difficulty arises from our lack of a generally covariant action for pure gravity in two dimensions.

Indeed, it was shown in ref.[9] that if one assumes that the classical gravity action is given by

$$S_{JT} = \frac{b}{\pi} \int d^2x \sqrt{g} N (R + \Lambda) \quad (1.1)$$

then the quantised theory does allow for matter couplings with arbitrary central charges. This action is an alternative to the non-local gravitational functional induced by the coupling of matter fields to gravity [1]. In the above b is a constant that can be absorbed into N , and Λ is the cosmological constant. The field $N(x)$ is an auxiliary field playing a crucial role in our analyses and classically imposes the constancy of the curvature R through its equation of motion

$$R + \Lambda = 0 \quad . \quad (1.2)$$

In the literature S_{JT} is known as the Jackiw-Teitelboim action [10] and some interesting aspects of it have been considered in [11-15]. Recently the perturbative behaviour of this model was also analysed in [16,17].

In this note we show that the Jackiw-Teitelboim model of gravity possesses a W_3 algebra [18]. We succeeded in constructing, in addition to the energy-momentum tensor, a spin-three generator as a function of the field $N(x)$ and the Liouville mode. Since for W_3 gravity¹ there are two physical degrees of freedom, the auxiliary field $N(x)$ can be interpreted as one of these degrees of freedom (the other one being the Liouville mode). This W_3 gravity can be quantised in the presence of matter fields with arbitrary central charge. Our results are

¹The W_N gravities can be thought of as arising upon gauging W_N algebras [19].

corrections to those expressions obtained in [9] where the W_3 algebra was not taken into account.

We start by showing that in the conformal gauge the energy-momentum tensor corresponding to S_{JT} has a central charge equal to 2 and no W_3 generator exists. In section 3, the contribution arising from the measure is taken into consideration and the explicit form of the spin-three generator is given. We also discuss the manner in which matter fields couple to W_3 gravity. Finally, the critical exponents of the model are computed. The final section is devoted to conclusions and outlook.

2 The Classical Model

In the background geometry specified by $\hat{g}_{\alpha\beta}$, where

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = \hat{g}_{\alpha\beta} e^{\gamma\sigma} \quad , \quad \gamma = 1 \text{ or } 2 \quad , \quad (2.1)$$

the Jackiw-Teitelboim action takes the form

$$S_{JT} = \frac{b}{\pi} \int d^2x \sqrt{\hat{g}} [\gamma \hat{g}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \sigma \partial_\nu N + N(\hat{R} + \Lambda e^{\gamma\sigma})] \quad , \quad (2.2)$$

where we have made use of the results

$$\begin{aligned} R &= e^{-\gamma\sigma} (\hat{R} - \gamma \nabla_{\hat{g}}^2 \sigma) \\ \nabla_{\hat{g}}^2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{g}}} \partial_\alpha (\sqrt{\hat{g}} \hat{g}^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta) \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

The energy momentum tensor corresponding to S_{JT} , with $\Lambda = 0$, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\alpha\beta} &\equiv -\frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{\hat{g}}} \frac{\delta L}{\delta \hat{g}^{\alpha\beta}} = -4b [2\gamma \partial_{(\alpha} \sigma \partial_{\beta)} N - \hat{\nabla}_\alpha \hat{\nabla}_\beta N \\ &+ \hat{g}_{\alpha\beta} (-\frac{\gamma}{2} \hat{g}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \sigma \partial_\nu N + \hat{\nabla}^\mu \hat{\nabla}_\mu N)] \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

and its z - z component is written as

$$T_{zz} \equiv T(z) = -4b (2\gamma \partial_z \sigma \partial_z N - \partial_z^2 N) \quad . \quad (2.5)$$

The kinetic term in S_{JT} produces the only propagator of this theory

$$\langle \sigma(z) N(w) \rangle = -\frac{1}{8\gamma b} \ln(z - w) \quad . \quad (2.6)$$

Using this propagator one finds that $T(z)$ has a central charge of 2.

Since for W_3 gravity there are precisely two physical degrees of freedom in the form of two scalars with possible background charges, one might suspect that there exists, in addition to the energy-momentum tensor, a W_3 generator for the Jackiw-Teitelboim model. To investigate this issue it is convenient to define the pair of scalar fields

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda &= \frac{8b\gamma}{x}\sigma + xN \\ \rho &= -\frac{8b\gamma}{x}\sigma + xN \quad ,\end{aligned}\tag{2.7}$$

where x is any parameter different from zero. In terms of these new fields the energy-momentum tensor is written as

$$T(z) = -\frac{1}{4}(\partial_z \lambda \partial_z \lambda - \partial_z \rho \partial_z \rho) + \frac{2b}{x}(\partial_z^2 \lambda + \partial_z^2 \rho)\tag{2.8}$$

and we have the following operator products for the fields λ and ρ

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(z)\lambda(w) &= -2 \ln(z-w) \\ \rho(z)\rho(w) &= 2 \ln(z-w) \\ \lambda(z)\rho(w) &= 0 \quad .\end{aligned}\tag{2.9}$$

With the form of the background charges for the fields λ and ρ as given in (2.8), we were not able to find any spin-three generator that forms with $T(z)$ a closed W_3 algebra. This can be also seen from the results of refs.[20,21]. The main result of this note is to show that such a W_3 algebra *does* exist when the contribution coming from the measure is taken into account.

3 The W_3 Algebra

We assume, following [3,4], that the product of the measures of the fields scale as²

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_g &\equiv \mathcal{D}_g N \mathcal{D}_g \sigma \mathcal{D}_g X \mathcal{D}_g bc \\ &= \mathcal{M}_{\hat{g}} \exp[-S(\sigma, \hat{g})]\end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

²A similar supposition was made for W_N gravity in [22].

under the definition (2.1). Here $\mathcal{D}_g X$ stands for the integration over matter fields while $\mathcal{D}_g bc$ corresponds to that of the (b, c) ghost system. The action $S(\sigma, \hat{g})$ is of the same form as the Liouville action but with arbitrary coefficients [3,4]. This is renormalised to take the form

$$S(\sigma, \hat{g}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int d^2x \sqrt{\hat{g}} (\hat{g}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \sigma \partial_\nu \sigma + Q \sigma \hat{R} + \mu e^{\gamma\alpha\sigma}) , \quad (3.2)$$

where μ is the cosmological constant and the parameters Q and α are determined by requiring the vanishing of the total conformal anomaly and that $e^{\gamma\alpha\sigma}$ is a (1,1) conformal operator.

Now the action $S = S_{JT} + S(\sigma, \hat{g})$, with $\Lambda = \mu = 0$, has a total energy-momentum tensor given by

$$T(z) = -\frac{1}{4}(\partial_z \lambda \partial_z \lambda - \partial_z \rho \partial_z \rho) + i a_1 \partial_z^2 \lambda + i a_2 \partial_z^2 \rho , \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= -i \left[\frac{y}{4b\gamma} + \frac{1}{8yb\gamma^2} (16b^2\gamma^2 - y^2) \right] \\ a_2 &= -i \left[-\frac{y}{4b\gamma} + \frac{1}{8yb\gamma^2} (16b^2\gamma^2 + y^2) \right] . \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

The new fields λ and ρ are now given by

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= \frac{1}{2yb\gamma} (16b^2\gamma^2 + y^2) + yN \\ \rho &= -\frac{1}{2yb\gamma} (16b^2\gamma^2 - y^2) + yN \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

and their operator products are still given (2.9). Again y is a non-zero parameter.

The spin-three operator, $W_{zzz} \equiv W(z)$, that completes the W_3 algebra is given by

$$W(z) = -\frac{i}{12} d_{ijk} : \partial_z \phi^i \partial_z \phi^j \partial_z \phi^k : - e_{ij} : \partial_z \phi^i \partial_z^2 \phi^j : + i f_j \partial_z^3 \phi^j , \quad (3.6)$$

where the indices i, j and k run from 1 to 2 and $\phi^1 = \lambda$ and $\phi^2 = \rho$. For the closure of the W_3 algebra the quantities d_{ijk} , e_{ij} and f_i have to satisfy certain consistency equations [20,21]. The coefficients d_{ijk} are totally symmetric while e_{ij} has no particular symmetry. These are listed below :

$$d_{111} = d_{122} = \sqrt{2} \frac{a_2}{\sqrt{a_1}} (a_1 + 3a_2) (3a_1^2 + a_2^2) / [(a_1^2 + 3a_2^2) \sqrt{15a_1 - 15a_2 - 4} \sqrt{(a_1 - a_2)^3}]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{112} &= d_{222} = \sqrt{2}\sqrt{a_1}(a_1 + 3a_2)/[\sqrt{15a_1 - 15a_2 - 4}\sqrt{(a_1 - a_2)^3}] \\
e_{11} &= e_{22} = \sqrt{2}\sqrt{a_1}a_2(a_1 + 3a_2)(a_1^2 - a_2^2)/[(a_1^2 + 3a_2^2)\sqrt{15a_1 - 15a_2 - 4}\sqrt{(a_1 - a_2)^3}] \\
e_{12} &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4\sqrt{a_1}}(a_1 + 3a_2)(3a_1^2 + a_2^2)(a_1^2 - a_2^2)/[(a_1^2 + 3a_2^2)\sqrt{15a_1 - 15a_2 - 4}\sqrt{(a_1 - a_2)^3}] \\
e_{21} &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4\sqrt{a_1}}(a_1 + 3a_2)(a_1^2 - a_2^2)/[\sqrt{15a_1 - 15a_2 - 4}\sqrt{(a_1 - a_2)^3}] \\
f_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{a_2}{\sqrt{a_1}}(a_1 + 3a_2)(a_1^2 - a_2^2)^2/[(a_1^2 + 3a_2^2)\sqrt{15a_1 - 15a_2 - 4}\sqrt{(a_1 - a_2)^3}] \\
f_2 &= \frac{\sqrt{a_1}}{\sqrt{2}}(a_1 + 3a_2)(a_1^2 - a_2^2)^2/[(a_1^2 + 3a_2^2)\sqrt{15a_1 - 15a_2 - 4}\sqrt{(a_1 - a_2)^3}] \quad (3.7)
\end{aligned}$$

In the operator product expansion of $W(z)W(w)$, the spin-four operator $\Lambda(z)$ is normal ordered with respect to the modes of $T(z)$ regardless of the modes of the fields from which $T(z)$ is made [20]. However, if $\Lambda(z)$ is normal ordered with respect to the modes of λ and ρ then there exist no spin-three operator that forms a closed W_3 algebra [21]. It is important to notice that the above solutions in (3.7) cannot be obtained from the general construction of ref.[20]. This is because there one has to impose the constraint, $(a_1^2 + 3a_2^2) = 0$, among the background charges. It is clear that this condition cannot be compatible with our solution as it renders undefined the coefficients in (3.7). This is also in favour of the claim made in [23] that more general solutions can be found for the consistency equations of ref.[20].

The central charge of the W_3 gravity is independent of the value of y and is given by

$$c_w = 2 + 24\left(\frac{2}{\gamma}Q - \frac{1}{\gamma^2}\right) . \quad (3.8)$$

The ghosts mentioned in the measure (3.1) correspond to the T and W generators. These are the ghost-antighost pairs (c_2, b_2) and (c_3, b_3) with scaling dimensions $(-1, 2)$ and $(-2, 3)$ respectively. Their contribution to the central charge is given by the general expression for W_N algebras ($N = 3$ in our case),

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{gh}(N) &= -(N - 1)(4N^2 + 4N + 2) \\
c_{gh}(3) &= -100 . \quad (3.9)
\end{aligned}$$

When our system is coupled to some conformal field theory (matter) with central charge c , the requirement that the total conformal anomaly vanishes leads

to

$$Q = \frac{\gamma}{48} \left(98 + \frac{24}{\gamma^2} - c \right) . \quad (3.10)$$

This is a correction to the result of ref.[9] in which the W_3 symmetry was not taken into account. The parameter α is determined by computing the conformal weight of the operator $e^{\gamma\alpha\sigma}$. This is given by

$$T(z)e^{\gamma\alpha\sigma}(w) = \frac{\alpha}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{1}{(z-w)} \partial_w e^{\gamma\alpha\sigma}(w) . \quad (3.11)$$

Therefore $e^{\gamma\alpha\sigma}$ is a (1,1) conformal operator iff

$$\alpha = 1 \quad (3.12)$$

The question to be answered now is how does matter couple to our system ? For simplicity we represent the matter with d free scalar fields, $X^i(z)$, without background charges, i.e. $c = d$. The total energy-momentum tensor can then be written as

$$T(z) = -\frac{1}{4} g_{ij} : \partial_z \phi^i \partial_z \phi^j : + i a_1 \partial_z^2 \lambda + i a_2 \partial_z^2 \rho , \quad (3.13)$$

where $\phi^1 = \lambda$, $\phi^2 = \rho$ and $\phi^i = X^i$ for $i = 3, \dots, d+2$. The metric g_{ij} is a $(d+2) \times (d+2)$ diagonal matrix, $g_{ij} = \text{diag}(1, -1, 1, \dots, 1)$. The total spin-three generator is still given by the expression (3.6), where the indices i, j and k run from 1 to $d+2$ and the non-vanishing coefficients are given by [20]

$$\begin{aligned} d_{111} &= d_{122} = \kappa \\ d_{1ij} &= -\kappa g_{ij} \quad \text{for } i, j = 3, \dots, d+2 \\ e_{11} &= e_{22} = \frac{1}{2} a_1 \kappa, \quad e_{12} = -a_2 \kappa \\ e_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2} a_1 \kappa g_{ij} \quad \text{for } i, j = 3, \dots, d+2 \\ f_1 &= \frac{1}{3} a_1^2 \kappa, \quad f_2 = -a_1 a_2 \kappa . \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Here $\kappa^2 = b^2 \equiv 16/(22 + 5\tilde{c})$ and $\tilde{c} = d + 2 + 24(\frac{2}{\gamma}Q - \frac{1}{\gamma^2})$. Finally a_1 and a_2 are given by (3.4) but with y replaced by

$$y = \frac{\pm b\gamma}{\sqrt{2}(2\gamma Q - 1)} [\sqrt{48\gamma Q - d\gamma^2 - 24} \pm \sqrt{-16\gamma Q - d\gamma^2 + 8}] . \quad (3.15)$$

This is a solution to the condition imposed in [20] on the background charges

$$a_1^2 + 3a_2^2 = -\frac{1}{8}d . \quad (3.16)$$

To extract out the critical exponents of the theory, one has to determine the area dependence of the partition function Z . From the constancy of the scalar curvature in (1.2) and the formula for the Euler characteristic, $\int d^2x \sqrt{g} R = 4\pi(1-h)$, we get

$$A\Lambda = 4\pi(h-1) , \quad (3.17)$$

where h is the genus of the two dimensional manifold and $A = \int d^2x \sqrt{g}$ is its area. Hence $Z(A)$ is obtained by computing the Λ dependence of Z . This is achieved by considering the rescaling $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma + \frac{\beta}{\gamma}$ for some constant β . The total action then shifts by

$$S_{tot}(\Lambda) \rightarrow S_{tot}(\Lambda e^\beta) + \frac{\beta}{48} (98 + \frac{24}{\gamma^2} - c) \int d^2x \sqrt{\hat{g}} \hat{R} , \quad (3.18)$$

where $S_{tot} = S(\sigma, \hat{g}) + S_{JT} + S_{matter} + S_{ghost}$ with $\mu = 0$. The matter and the ghost action are scale invariant, as is also the measure of the path integral. It follows that

$$Z(\Lambda) = Z(\Lambda e^\beta) \times \exp \left[-\frac{\beta}{12} (98 + \frac{24}{\gamma^2} - c)(1-h) \right] . \quad (3.19)$$

The solution to this equation is

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\Lambda) &\sim \Lambda^{\frac{1}{12}(98 + \frac{24}{\gamma^2} - c)(1-h)} \\ Z(A) &\sim A^{-1 - \frac{1}{12}(98 + \frac{24}{\gamma^2} - c)(1-h)} , \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

where we have used the relation between A and Λ in (3.17). The A^{-1} factor arises from the scaling of the delta function, $\delta(\int d^2x \sqrt{g} R - A)$, inserted in the path integral. The string susceptibility is defined by $Z(A) \sim A^{\gamma_{str}-3}$ and is therefore given by

$$\gamma_{str} = 2 - \frac{1}{12} (98 + \frac{24}{\gamma^2} - c)(1-h) . \quad (3.21)$$

This is also a correction to the susceptibility expression of ref.[9].

4 Conclusions

In this letter we have shown that the Jackiw-Teitelboim model of two dimensional gravity possesses a W_3 algebra at the quantum level. Matter fields with arbitrary

central charge can be coupled to it and no critical dimensions arise. Therefore this model is a strong candidate for a theory of W_3 gravity and non-critical " W_3 strings". This also allows for interpreting the auxiliary field of the model as one of the physical degrees of freedom of W_3 gravity. Thus, it is suggestive to postulate that W_3 gravity might derive from higher dimensions upon performing some form of dimensional reduction [24]. This is because the Jackiw-Teitelboim model can be viewed as arising from the three dimensional Einstein-Hilbert action when the dependence on the third dimension is suppressed and the components of the metric in this direction are $g_{13} = g_{23} = 0$ and $g_{33} = N^2(x)$.

The important question that one would like to find an answer to is how does the non-linear W_3 symmetry come about in this model ? One way of shedding some light on this issue is by representing our model by a two dimensional topological field theory based on some gauge group. It is then possible to see that in order to couple matter fields to this topological theory, one needs to introduce a rank-three tensor $B_{\mu\nu\rho}$ that transforms like the extra gauge field of W_3 gravity [17]. This line of research deserves certainly further investigation.

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank the IAEA and UNESCO for financial support.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. M. Polyakov, Mod. Phys. Lett. A2 (1987) 893.
- [2] V. G. Knizhnik, A. M. Polyakov and A. B. Zamolodchikov, Mod. Phys. Lett. A3 (1988) 819.
- [3] J. Distler and H. Kawai, Nucl. Phys. B321 (1989) 509.
- [4] F. David, Mod. Phys. Lett. A3 (1988) 1651.
- [5] V. Kazakov, Phys. Lett. B150 (1985) 282; Mod. Phys. Lett. A4 (1989) 2125;
A. Ambjorn, B. Durhuus and J. Fröhlich, Nucl. Phys. B257 (1985) 433;
V. Kazakov, I. Kostov and A. A. Migdal, Phys. Lett. B157 (1985) 295;
D. Boulatov, V. Kazakov, I. Kostov and A. A. Migdal, Nucl. Phys. B275 (1986) 641;
J. Ambjorn, B. Durhuus, J. Fröhlich and P. Orland, Nucl. Phys. B270 (1986) 457.
- [6] E. Brézin and V. Kazakov, Phys. Lett. B236 (1990) 144.
- [7] M. Douglas and S. Shenker, Nucl. Phys. B325 (1990) 635.
- [8] D. J. Gross and A. A. Migdal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64 (1990) 127; Nucl. Phys. B340 (1990) 333.
- [9] A. H. Chamseddine, Phys. Lett. B256 (1991) 379.
- [10] R. Jackiw, in Quantum Theory of Gravity, ed. S. Christensen (Hilger, 1984);
C. Teitelboim, in Quantum Theory of Gravity, ed. S. Christensen (Hilger, 1984); Phys. Lett. B126 (1983) 41.
- [11] M. Henneaux, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54 (1985) 959.
- [12] J. D. Brown, M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, Phys. Rev. D33 (1986) 319.
- [13] T. Fukuyama and K. Kamimura, Phys. Lett. B160 (1985) 259.

- [14] A. H. Chamseddine and D. Wyler, Phys. Lett. B228 (1989) 75; Nucl. Phys. B340 (1990) 595;
K. Isler and C. A. Trugenberger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63 (1989) 834;
R. B. Mann, A. Shiekh and L. Tarasov, Nucl. Phys. B341 (1990) 134.
- [15] N. Mohammedi, Mod. Phys. Lett. A5 (1990) 1251.
- [16] F. D. Mazzitelli and N. Mohammedi, Phys. Lett. B (in press);
F. D. Mazzitelli and N. Mohammedi, ICTP preprint IC/91/238 (1991).
- [17] S. D. Odintsov and I. L. Shapiro, Phys. Lett. B263 (1991) 183.
- [18] A. B. Zamolodchikov, Teo. Mat. Fiz. 65 (1985) 347.
- [19] Y. Matsuo, Phys. Lett. B227 (1989) 209;
M. Bershadsky and H. Ooguri, Comm. Math. Phys. 126 (1989);
C. M. Hull, Phys. Lett. B240 (1990) 110;
K. Schoutens, A. Sevrin and P. van Nieuwenhinzen, Phys. Lett. B243 (1990) 245;
E. Bergshoeff, C. N. Pope, L. J. Romans, A. Sevrin, E. Sezgin, X. Shen and K. S. Stelle, Phys. Lett. B243 (1990) 350.
- [20] L. Romans, Nucl. Phys. B352 (1991) 829.
- [21] C. M. Hull and L. Palacios, QMW preprint QMW/PH/91/15 (1991).
- [22] M. Awada and Z. Qiu, Phys. Lett. B245 (1990) 85;
S. R. Das, A. Dhar and S. Kalyana Rama, TIFR preprints TIFR/TH/91-11 (1991); TIFR/TH/91-20 (1991).
- [23] N. Mohammedi, ICTP preprint IC/91/49 (1991).
- [24] A. Bilal, Phys. Lett. B249 (1990) 56;
E. Bergshoeff, A. Bilal and K. S. Stelle, CERN preprint CERN-TH.5924/90;
K. L. Li, Caltech preprints CALT-68-16662; CALT-68-1670 (1990).